
## ADJC PAROLE REVOCATIONS BY COUNTY: CY2002



Parole Office Authority	Revoked		Revoked Reinstated		Reinforcement	
	7.	20.00/	70	64.00/	10.4	71.60/
Maricopa	76	38.8%	79	64.8%	194	51.6%
Pinal	12	6.1%	6	4.9%	11	2.9%
Pima	73	37.3%	29	23.8%	113	30.1%
Graham	4	2.0%	-	-	3	0.8%
Yuma	7	3.6%	3	2.5%	20	5.3%
La Paz	-	-	-	-	1	0.3%
Mohave	5	2.6%	1	0.8%	15	4.0%
Yavapai	6	3.1%	1	0.8%	2	0.5%
Navajo	1	0.5%	=-	-	1	0.3%
Apache	2	1.0%	-	-	-	-
Gila	-	-	1	0.8%	5	1.3%
Coconino	5	2.6%	2	1.6%	4	1.2%
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cochise	5	2.6%	-	-	7	1.9%
TOTAL	196	100%	122	100%	376	100%

Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections Research and Development Kathie Putrow, Research Analyst 1624 West Adams, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602)542-2053





## **Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections Parole Violator Fact Sheet**

Calendar Year 2002



David A. Gaspar Director





Parole Office Authority	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinforcement	
Mesa Parole	19	9.7%	22	18.0%	53	14.1%
South Parole	24	12.3%	20	16.4%	55	14.6%
Northwest Parole	35	17.9%	38	31.2%	82	21.8%
Tucson Parole	75	38.3%	30	24.6%	114	30.3%
Rural Parole Offices	39	19.9%	9	7.4%	56	14.9%
ICMP	2	1.0%	-	-	4	1.1%
Continuum – Girls	2	1.0%	3	2.5%	11	2.9%
Interstate Compact	-	-	-	-	1	0.3%
TOTAL	196	100%	122	100%	376	100%

## Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections CY 2002

Gender	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinforcement	
Female	19	9.7%	18	14.8%	53	14.1%
Male	177	90.3%	104	85.2%	323	85.9%
TOTAL	196	100%	122	100%	376	100%

Race/Ethnicity	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinforcement	
Hispanic	107	54.6%	56	45.9%	190	50.5%
Caucasian	50	25.5%	46	37.7%	121	32.2%
African American	21	10.7%	14	11.5%	46	12.2%
Native American	13	6.6%	4	3.3%	12	3.2%
Mexican National	3	1.5%	1	0.8%	6	1.6%
Asian	1	0.5%	1	0.8%	1	0.3%
Other	1	0.5%	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	196	100%	122	100%	376	100%

Age	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinforcement	
13	1	0.5%	-	-	1	-
14	4	2.0%	3	2.5%	6	1.6%
15	26	13.3%	20	16.4%	57	15.2%
16	71	36.2%	32	26.2%	122	32.4%
17	94	48.0%	67	54.9%	191	50.8%
TOTAL	196	100%	122	100%	376	100%

Risk Level	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinforcement	
Low	8	4.1%	11	9.1%	29	7.7%
Moderate	70	35.9%	57	47.1%	187	49.9%
High	117	60.0%	53	43.8%	159	42.4%
TOTAL*	195	100%	121	100%	375	100%

<b>Commitment Type</b>	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinforcement	
Court Ordered	83	42.6%	-	-	-	-
Mandatory Override	4	2.1%	-	-	-	-
Discretionary Override	11	5.6%	23	19.0%	130	34.7%
Matrix Placement	97	49.8%	98	81.0%	245	65.3%
TOTAL*	195	100%	121	100%	375	100%

## Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections CY 2002

# of Referrals	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinfor	Reinforcement	
1-3	-	-	2	1.7%	3	0.8%	
4-6	7	3.6%	8	6.6%	23	6.1%	
7-9	28	14.4%	23	19.0%	73	19.5%	
10-12	37	19.0%	31	25.6%	108	28.8%	
13-15	53	27.2%	34	28.1%	65	17.3%	
16-18	31	15.9%	11	9.1%	48	12.8%	
19-21	17	8.7%	6	5.0%	27	7.2%	
22-24	12	6.2%	2	1.7%	8	2.1%	
25 & Up	10	5.1%	4	3.3%	20	5.3%	
TOTAL*	195	100%	121	100%	375	100%	

<b>Felony Class Level</b>	Revoked		Reinstated		Reinforcement**	
Class 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class 2	9	4.6%	-	-	-	-
Class 3	11	5.7%	1	0.8%	7	1.9%
Class 4	23	11.8%	1	0.8%	12	3.2%
Class 5	29	14.9%	1	0.8%	9	2.4%
Class 6	41	21.0%	7	5.8%	25	6.7%
Misdemeanor	75	38.5%	15	12.4%	77	20.5%
Non-Delinquent	7	3.6%	96	79.3%	245	65.3%
TOTAL*	195	100%	121	100%	375	100%

<b>Commitment Offense</b>	Revoked		Reins	tated	Reinforcement**	
Categories						
Property Offenses	66	33.9%	10	8.3%	43	11.5%
Crimes Against Persons	39	20.0%	7	5.8%	35	9.3%
Drug Offenses	28	14.4%	2	1.7%	21	5.6%
Public Order Offenses	27	13.9%	4	3.3%	17	4.5%
Weapons Offenses	13	6.7%	-	-	4	1.2%
Other Offenses	15	7.7%	2	1.7%	10	2.7%
Non-Delinquent	7	3.6%	96	79.3%	245	65.3%
Offenses	195	100%	121	100%	375	100%
TOTAL*						

	Male Ju	ıveniles	Female J	<b>Juveniles</b>
Population Data	Population as of 12/31/2001	Population as of 12/31/2002	Population as of 12/31/2001	Population as of 12/31/2002
	12/31/2001	12/31/2002	12/31/2001	12/31/2002
Parole Status	805	802	162	167
Reinforcement Status	63	63	10	11
TOTAL	868	865	172	178

<sup>\*</sup>Three juveniles did not have risk data available.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Juveniles placed on Reinforcement status were not adjudicated for new offenses; they were "proven" by a hearing officer at their revocation hearing.